Submissions Abstract Book - All Papers (All Submissions)

0422

A11 | Chepstow Chaired by Ciaran Burke

Wed 11 Dec 2019

12:00 - 13:15

De-valuing 'alternative extra-curricular activities': the symbolic reproduction of extra-curricular activities as status distinctions

Julia Clarke¹, Andrew Harvey²

¹Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, United Kingdom ²La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

Research Domain: Employability, enterprise and graduate careers (EE)

Abstract:

With growing competition, employers are increasingly differentiating applicants not only by their formal qualifications, but by their extra-curricular credentials and activities. Access to the activities valued by employers varies widely though, reflecting and reinforcing inequalities across different groups of students. This paper presents the outcomes from the first national survey of Australian universities' careers service staff on the theme of equity in extra-curricular activities. Careers staff consistently highlighted the under-representation of equity group students in volunteering activities, work experience, and outbound mobility placements. In addition to time and money, many equity group students were perceived to be under-represented in extra-curricular activities because of their (misplaced) perception that such activities are marginal to employability. We draw on Bourdieu's conception of the 'cultural arbitrary' and Yosso's conception of community cultural wealth to highlight the need for more inclusive university practices which recognise alternative extra-curricular activities within employability strategies.

Paper:

Introduction

Extra-curricular activities (ECAs) can be broadly described as 'activities and events that students engaged in, which are not part of their formal degree classification' (Thompson, Clark, Walker, &

Whyatt, 2013, p. 136). Commonly recognised extra-curricular activities include volunteering, overseas experience, and participation in student clubs and societies (Kinash et al., 2015; Perna, 2013; Yorke & Knight, 2006). Participation in ECAs has been linked with improved graduate outcomes (Richardson, Bennett & Roberts, 2016), including through social connections made that can help graduates find jobs and progress in their careers (Stuart et al., 2011). Employers may use ECAs to gauge skills and cultural fit (Rivera 2012), and to differentiate between candidates with equivalent qualifications and academic results (Stuart et al., 2011).

Theoretical Framework

The tendency of ECAs to exacerbate inequity by reflecting broader socio-economic stratification highlights a 'cultural arbitrary' (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977), whereby values attributed to different forms of cultural capital are arbitrary in the sense that they are determined by their relations to power structures rather than by intrinsic worth. In a "crowded" labour market (Tomlinson, 2012, p.408) those qualities and experiences which are deemed to make graduates employable are largely determined by socio-economic positioning (Allen, Quinn et al. 2013), reproducing inequalities by reinforcing an ideal that reflects middle class characteristics (Morley, 2007).

The kinds of capital emphasised within traditional ECAs, meeting the cultural arbitrary, are typically held by students from middle class backgrounds. However, Yosso (2005) highlights that marginalised groups often hold different types of capital that lie unrecognised and unrewarded by employers (and universities). This critique challenges the way marginalised cultural expressions are degraded, rather than analysed for the ways they provide people of colour, in particular, transferable and valuable capabilities. Examples of such alternative capitals include resistant, familial, and linguistic capital, or the 'intellectual and social skills attained through communication experiences in more than one language and/or style' (Yosso, 2005, p.78).

In Australia, for example, Indigenous students and students from non-English speaking backgrounds (NESB) do not necessarily identify with the concept of volunteering in the same way as their peers. Many of these students spend time assisting their families and communities in ways that are neither measured nor rewarded by universities, including caring and kinship responsibilities (Walsh & Black, 2015). These same family and community commitments for economically marginalised people can be a source of development of persistence, resourcefulness, motivation toward achievement in the form of viewing themselves as fulfilling family potential unrealised due financial constraints (Mallman

2017a, 2018). New migrants also have numerous home and community involvements that, though not recognised as ECAs, provide a source of support, identification, and inspiration (Harvey and Mallman 2019; Harvey et al. 2018). What could be viewed by employers as the source of rich development of skills and personal attributes are not viewed as having legitimate exchange value.

Methodology

Our research involved a desktop review, survey of student union managers, and a separate survey of university careers service managers (or equivalent) from each of the 37 Australian public universities. Career managers responded to a survey administered via Qualtrics. A total of 29 out of the 37 invited managers responded to the survey, representing a 78 per cent response rate. Survey responses were obtained from universities with campuses across all states and territories of Australia, and covered a range of university types (see Table 1).

Table 1: Managers of careers services: survey responses by university type

University group		
Non-aligned universities		
Group of Eight (Go8)		
Innovative Research Universities (IRU)		
Regional Universities Network (RUN)		
Australian Technology Network (ATN)		
Total		

Respondents were asked their perspectives on the extent to which equity group students were participating in traditional careers services, such as CV assistance, interview preparation, and employer forums; and in traditional extra-curricular activities, such as formal volunteering, work-integrated learning, and outbound mobility. We concentrated on the six identified equity groups in Australia higher education, namely students from low socio-economic (SES), non-English speaking, and regional backgrounds, Indigenous students, those with a disability, and women in non-traditional areas such as Engineering and IT.

The survey found that only 48 per cent of the careers services monitored the service uptake of any of the equity groups. About one quarter of the managers reported that an inability to identify students from equity groups restricted their capacity to promote services to these groups and monitor their service uptake. In particular, managers of careers services reported that it was difficult to identify low SES students in order to monitor their service uptake and tailor careers services to them. While SES information (i.e. place of residence) is recorded in student information systems, the managers of careers services to this information.

The careers services provided some tailored support to the equity groups. Slightly more than one half of the services tailored careers support to students from non-English speaking backgrounds, often targeting language and cultural issues. Women in non-traditional subject areas were the equity group that received the least amount of tailored careers support.

Findings

Our survey revealed broad concern about under-representation in ECAs across all equity groups, but respondents were also frustrated by the paucity of equity data that was either collected and/or made accessible to them. The perceptions of managers around representation were broadly consistent with student union responses and also resonated with previous research by the authors, which noted substantial under-representation of equity groups in ECAs such as study abroad and work integrated learning experiences. Relatedly, national data also confirm that graduates with a disability or from non-English speaking backgrounds typically record lower than average employment outcomes (Harvey & Mallman 2019). Managers were typically aware of these data, but constrained by the paucity of equity data, which itself reflected the marginalisation of careers services within the university and the need for greater analytic capacity.

We explore perceived reasons for under-representation, which included constraints of time and money, but also attitudinal and cultural issues. Some students were believed to be over-privileging academic achievement above participation in ECAs, potentially to their detriment. Others, particularly those from non-English speaking backgrounds, were perceived to be concerned about their level of English proficiency and the extent to which they would be welcomed in ECAs. Students with a disability were seen to be concerned about physical access and psychological support for work integrated learning and other activities, while regional students were typically seen to be under-represented because of constraints of distance. While only perceptions, these views were found to be

consistent with student union responses and with the broader data and research.

Careers managers were asked about their knowledge of university strategies to address underrepresentation within traditional ECAs, and many pointed to the existence of bursaries and scholarships to support low SES and other equity groups. However, strategies appeared to be limited in scope and scale.

Discussion

In our explanation of ECAs and structural inequity, we further explore how under-represented students are often excluded from participation in the activities of highest perceived value. Compounding this lack of access to traditional ECAs is an under-acknowledgement of the alternative extra-curricular activities undertaken by many equity group students, such as paid work roles, caring for family, and involvement with community. Together, these processes serve to reify a system of structural inequity and to value some forms of cultural capital above others.

There are therefore immediate challenges to educate all students about the importance of ECAs, and to remove financial, temporal and cultural barriers to the participation of students in such activities. More broadly though, there exists a challenge to institutions to review the kinds of capital that are recognised, and the kinds of ECAs that are supported and valued. Part of this review could consider how universities might recognise students who work (beyond formal WIL and placements in cognate degree fields) and who contribute to their families and communities in ways not currently acknowledged.

Conclusion

Universities need to focus on mainstreaming employability education within the curriculum where possible in order to minimise inequity, and on developing targeted strategies for particular groups with discrete needs. Providing access and support for marginalised students to undertake traditional ECAs is also critical, but we posit the equal need for universities to value and formally recognise alternative extra-curricular activities. Many equity group students are active in caring, family and community roles, and these roles could be recognised formally within university extra-curricular frameworks. A recalibration of ECA recognition would redress the 'cultural arbitrary' that currently exacerbates student inequity, and would value the forms of capital that diverse students bring to the university.

References

Allen, K., Quinn, J., Hollingworth, S., & Rose, A. (2013). Becoming employable students and 'ideal' creative workers: exclusion and inequality in higher education work placements. British Journal of Sociology of Education, 34(3), 431.

Bourdieu, P. & Passeron, J. (1977a). Reproduction in education, society and culture. London: Sage.

Harvey, A., Mallman, M., Szalkowicz, G. & Moran, A. (2018). Raising university participation of new migrants in regional communities, Report for the Australian Government Department of Education and Training, Centre for Higher Education Equity and Diversity Research, La Trobe University.

Harvey, A. & Mallman, M. 2019. Beyond cultural capital: Understanding the strengths of new migrants within higher education, Policy Futures in Education, published online first, pp. 1-17.

Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA). (2015a). Destinations of leavers from higher education in the United Kingdom for the academic year 2013/14. HESA SFR217. Retrieved 7 March 2016 from https://www.hesa.ac.uk/sfr217

Kinash, S., Crane, L., Judd, M-M., Mitchell, K., McLean., M., Knight, C., Dowling, D., & Schultz, M. (2015). Supporting graduate employability from generalist disciplines through employer and private institution collaboration. Report prepared for the Office of Learning and Teaching, Australian Government.

Mallman, M. (2017a). Not Entirely at Home: Upward social mobility and early family life, Journal of Sociology, 53(1), 18-31.

Mallman, M. (2018). Disruption in the working-class family: the early origins of social mobility and habitus clive, in: S. Lawler & G. Payne (Eds) Social mobility for the 21st Century: Everyone a winner? (New York, Routledge), 25-36.

Morley, L. (2007). The X factor: employability, elitism and equity in graduate recruitment. Twenty-First Century Society, 2(2), 191-207.

Perna, L. W. (2013). Preparing today's students for tomorrow's jobs in metropolitan America. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Richardson, S., Bennett, D. & Roberts, L. (2016) Investigating the Relationship Between Equity and Graduate Outcomes in Australia. Report submitted to the National Centre for Student Equity in Higher Education (NCSEHE), Curtin University: Perth.

Rivera, Lauren. 2012. Hiring as Cultural Matching: The Case of Elite Professional Service Firms. American Sociological Review. 77: 999-1022.

Stuart, M., Lido, C., Morgan, J., Solomon, L., May, S. (2011). The impact of engagement with extracurricular activities on the student experience and graduate outcomes for widening participation populations. Active Learning in Higher Education, 12(3), 203-215.

Tomlinson, M. (2008). 'The degree is not enough': students' perceptions of the role of higher education credentials for graduate work and employability. British Journal of Sociology of Education, 29(1), 49.

Walsh, L. & Black, R. (2015). Youth volunteering in Australia: an evidence review. Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth. Retrieved 21 September 2017 from

https://docs.education.gov.au/system/files/doc/other/youth_volunteering_evidence_review_0.pdf

Yorke, M. & Knight, P. T. (2006). Embedding employability into the curriculum. Learning & Employment Series One. York: The Higher Education Academy.

Yosso, T. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community wealth. Race, Ethnicity and Education, 8(1), 69-91.