

Submissions Abstract Book - All Papers (Included Submissions)

0123

Tue 07 Dec 2021

12:30 - 12:50

Data and Decolonising the Higher Education Curriculum

Robyn Price¹, Mark Skopec¹, Simon Mackenzie¹, Gemma Seabrook¹, Matthew Harris¹

¹*Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*

Research Domain: Academic practice, work, careers and cultures (AP)

Abstract: Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) are attempting to identify and correct systemic biases in taught curricula. One type of bias is an imbalance toward research originating from high-income countries. Manually investigating reading lists to identify this ‘geographic bias’ is often time-consuming and laborious. A collaboration between researchers, librarians and ICT at Imperial College has resulted in a novel computer-based method that automates this process. The tool retrieves the geographic information of the institutional affiliation for each author on a citation. Next, country income status data is collected from the World Bank. Finally, a Citation Source Index (CSI) is derived for each reading list item. The CSI is a weighted average of all authors listed on a citation, thereby visualizing the skew present on a reading list. A higher CSI indicates a greater reliance on citations by authors affiliated with HEIs in high-income countries. We describe the application of this method to the Imperial College Masters in Public Health (MPH) programme over two time periods (2017-18 and 2019-20). We also discuss the limitations, both technical and conceptual, of our method, as well as its possible role in decolonising Higher Education practice.

Paper: Bibliometric analyses of citation networks demonstrate a gap in the consumption of global scientific research (1–4). A 2012 analysis found that North America and Europe receive 42.3% and 35.3% of the world’s citations, respectively, compared to less than 5% by Africa, South America and Oceania combined (4). Similarly, a 2019 analysis found that more than 75% of social science articles indexed in the Web of Science (WoS) database originated in either America or Western Europe, with the global south combined representing less than 10% (5). There are significant interconnections between the lack of diversity in the academic library and publishing sectors, structural career barriers faced by black and minority ethnicity academics and curriculum biases (6,7). In recent years, staff and students at different Higher Education institutions (HEIs) in the UK have attempted to identify and dismantle legacies of colonisation embedded in institutional physical spaces, educational provisions and financial power structures that underlie these inequalities (8–12). Particularly in the realm of HEIs, where many institutions have historically negated ways of knowing and being that were not in accordance with ‘western’ ideals, applying a decolonial lens to the curriculum could prove vital (13).

Empirical analysis of reading lists is not widely used in practice, but could be an important tool for decolonising curricula (14). It could provide quantitative data as evidence in understanding whether certain geographic regions might be unduly excluded, intentionally or not, from the university curriculum. Analyses of reading lists by some UK HEIs to understand diversity or bias in the curriculum have found a preponderance of articles from the global north (14,15). Reading list analysis is often done manually, which is time-consuming and potentially prone to error. We propose a computational method that generates a quantitative indicator that facilitates time-specific and evidence-based interpretations of the data that can be used to supplement practice and theory-led discussions of decolonisation. We describe the methods used to convert reading lists of the Imperial College London Masters in Public Health (MPH) programme into machine readable code from which bibliographic and author region data and country socioeconomic status is retrieved and analysed.

We searched Leganto Reading Lists (16) using a reporting tool (17). This search retrieved data associated with all courses in the School of Medicine faculty. A PHP script made a separate curl HTTP request to the WoS database for each reading list item specific to the MPH via a GET command to the WoS API Expanded. This command automated the sending of all identified reading list items to WoS to retrieve item-level metadata, including “Author Sequence”, “Author Address”, “Author City” and “Author Country.” The results of this automated searching and matching generated CSV files for each of the course modules. We then used the World Bank Gross National Income (GNI) per capita (Atlas Method)(18) ranking data to assign values to citations based on their authors’ affiliations. The institutional affiliation data generated through Leganto and WoS as well as the GNI/capita data were used to calculate a Citation Source Index (CSI). This CSI represented a weighted average of the World Bank GNI/capita rankings for the countries of the institutional affiliation for each author listed on a citation. A CSI could be any value between 0.0049 (for a citation with authors exclusively from Somalia, ranked number 1 on the GNI/capita list) and 1 (for a citation with authors exclusively from Liechtenstein, ranked number 203 on the GNI/capita list). A CSI closer to 1 would represent authorship primarily affiliated with institutions in HICs, whereas a CSI closer to 0.0049 would represent authorship primarily affiliated with institutions in LICs.

Reading lists for the 2017/18 and 2019/20 iterations of the MPH course were analysed. The median CSI for 2017/18 was 0.8818 (IQR 0.8818 – 0.9498) and the mean CSI was 0.8837. The median CSI for 2019/20 was 0.8818 (IQR 0.8818 – 0.9557) and the mean CSI was 0.8803. Our findings for the Imperial MPH reading list indicate a notable skew towards authors from HICs with a marginal reduction in CSI from the 2017/18 to the 2019/20 course year. Despite limitations, both conceptual and technical, the methodology was successful at providing a quantitative analysis of the geographic origin of nearly 600 citations that are indexed in WoS. In producing these results, we have developed a method that permits the bulk of the labour preparing and extracting data for a reading list analysis to be done automatically. This is important for empirical reading list analyses to become more accessible tools in curriculum decolonisation projects.

References: 1. Antonio Gálvez, Mercedes Maqueda, Manuel Martínez-Bueno, Eva Valdivia. Scientific Publication Trends and the Developing World: What can the volume and authorship of scientific articles tell us about scientific progress in various regions? *American Scientist* [Internet]. 2000;88(6):526–33. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27858122>

2. Cash-Gibson L, Rojas-Gualdrón DF, Pericàs JM, Benach J. Inequalities in global health inequalities research: A 50-year bibliometric analysis (1966-2015). PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2018 Jan 31 [cited 2019 Apr 26];13(1):e0191901. Available from: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0191901>
3. Keiser J, Utzinger J, Tanner M, Singer BH. Representation of authors and editors from countries with different human development indexes in the leading literature on tropical medicine: survey of current evidence. BMJ [Internet]. 2004 May 22 [cited 2018 Jul 26];328(7450):1229–32. Available from: <http://www.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmj.38069.518137.F6>
4. Pan RK, Kaski K, Fortunato S. World citation and collaboration networks: uncovering the role of geography in science. Scientific Reports [Internet]. 2012 Dec [cited 2018 Jul 2];2(1). Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep00902>
5. Demeter M. The World-Systemic Dynamics of Knowledge Production: The Distribution of Transnational Academic Capital in the Social Sciences. JWSR [Internet]. 2019 Mar 25 [cited 2020 May 1];25(1):111–44. Available from: <http://jwsr.pitt.edu/ojs/jwsr/article/view/887>
6. Charles E. Decolonizing the curriculum. Insights [Internet]. 2019 Sep 12 [cited 2020 Jun 29];32(1):24. Available from: <http://insights.uksg.org/articles/10.1629/uksg.475/>
7. Arday J. Fighting the tide: Understanding the difficulties facing Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) Doctoral Students' pursuing a career in Academia. Educational Philosophy and Theory [Internet]. 2020 Jun 25 [cited 2020 Dec 1];1–8. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00131857.2020.1777640>
8. #RHODESMUSTFALL [Internet]. #RHODESMUSTFALL. [cited 2018 Dec 12]. Available from: <https://rmfoxford.wordpress.com/>
9. Sabaratnam M. Decolonising the curriculum: what's all the fuss about? [Internet]. SOAS Blog. 2017 [cited 2019 Feb 14]. Available from: <https://www.soas.ac.uk/blogs/study/decolonising-curriculum-whats-the-fuss/>
10. Decolonise UCL [Internet]. Students' Union UCL. [cited 2018 Nov 9]. Available from: <http://studentsunionucl.org/node/115789>
11. English Faculty begins decolonisation discussion [Internet]. The Cambridge Student. 2017 [cited 2018 Nov 12]. Available from: <https://www.tcs.cam.ac.uk/news/0037798-english-faculty-begins-decolonisation-discussion.html>
12. Carrell S. Glasgow University to pay £20m in slave trade reparations. The Guardian [Internet]. 2019 Aug 23 [cited 2020 Dec 1]; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/aug/23/glasgow-university-slave-trade-reparations>
13. de Oliveira Andreotti V de O, Stein S, Ahenakew C, Hunt D. Mapping interpretations of decolonization in the context of higher education. Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jan 7];4(1):21–40. Available from: <http://representing-education.gertrudecotton.info/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/andreotti-stein-ahenakew-hunt->

decolonization.pdf

14. Schucan Bird K, Pitman L. How diverse is your reading list? Exploring issues of representation and decolonisation in the UK. High Educ [Internet]. 2019 Nov 27 [cited 2020 May 1]; Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10734-019-00446-9>
15. Wilson K. Decolonising LSE Collections [Internet]. Decolonise the Curriculum - the library's role; 2020 Jan 24 [cited 2020 May 1]; London, UK. Available from: <https://decolonisethelibrary.wordpress.com/decolonising-lse-collections-kevin-wilsonlondon-school-of-economics/>
16. Leganto Reading Lists [Internet]. Imperial College London. [cited 2020 Sep 9]. Available from: <http://www.imperial.ac.uk/admin-services/library/learning-support/reading-lists/>
17. Oracle Business Intelligence Enterprise Edition | Oracle United Kingdom [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 28]. Available from: <https://www.oracle.com/uk/business-analytics/business-intelligence/technologies/bi-enterprise-edition.html>
18. GNI per capita, Atlas method (current US\$) | Data [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jan 30]. Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.PCAP.CD>